

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,465	02/20/2004	Lewis R. Dove	10020706-1 7444		
7590 03/27/2006			EXAMINER		
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			LEE, BENNY T		
Intellectual Property Administration					
Legal Department, DL429			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 7599			2817		
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

## DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the *EXAMINER* in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

1.	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2.🔯	e proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief		
3 □	th requisite fees. e proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);		
•	b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below);		
2	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:		
4.□	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
5.□	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	•	
6.□	e a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\square$ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place		
	e application in condition for allowance because:		
7.🗆	e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly		
в. <b>Х</b>	ised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):		
0.04	aim(s) allowed:		
	• •		
	aim(s) rejected to:  aim(s) rejected:1 - 8, 22, 9, 23, 10 - 15, 24, 18, 16 - 21, 25		
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
<b>Z</b> Í.e	e proposed drawing correction filed on The 2004 a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.		
0.□	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
	her. In lieu of Filong an appeal, the examiner suggests that applicant should perhap	ر و	
. – – –	refile the application using RCE procedures as a way of expediting production		

BENNY TLEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2817